

MEMO / NOTE DE SERVICE



To/Destinataire	Registrar, Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario	AGCO License File/N° de fichier: <b>1122104</b>
From/Expéditeur	Benjamin Cool-Fergus Planner Zoning & Interpretation Unit	
Subject/Objet	<b>Cannabis Retail Store Authorization Application</b>	Date: <b>March 19, 2021</b>

A Cannabis Retail Store Authorization Application in the City of Ottawa has been issued for Public Notice by the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario. Per the *Cannabis License Act*, a municipality or any other interested party has 15 calendar days to reply based on matters of public interest.

The Provincial legislation provides that the AGCO may not issue a retail store authorization for applications deemed not to be in the “public interest”, which has been defined in s. 10 of Regulation [468/18](#) as meaning:

1. protecting public health and safety,
2. protecting youth and restricting their access to cannabis, and
3. preventing illicit activities in relation to cannabis.

Pursuant to [Council Direction](#) from December 13, 2018, City of Ottawa staff have reviewed the proposed application with respect to matters pertaining to the public interest. The Municipal Response is attached.

If you require any clarification or have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me directly.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Cool-Fergus  
Planner, Zoning & Interpretation Unit  
Economic Development and Long-Range Planning  
613 580 2400 x 27915  
[Benjamin.Cool-Fergus@ottawa.ca](mailto:Benjamin.Cool-Fergus@ottawa.ca)

**City of Ottawa Municipal Response to Cannabis Retail Store Authorization  
to Registrar, Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario**

<b>Business Name:</b>	<b>LONDON HY</b>
<b>Proposed Address:</b>	<b>3685 RIVERSIDE DRIVE</b>
<b>AGCO File Number:</b>	<b>1122104</b>

Pursuant to [Council Direction](#) from December 13, 2018, City of Ottawa staff have reviewed the proposed application and make the following observations pertaining to the public interest.

**Key Principle 1: Prevention of Clustering**

A 150 metre distance separation from other Licensed Cannabis Stores is in the public interest, as the Board of Health has noted concerns that excessive clustering and geographic concentration of cannabis retail outlets may encourage undesirable health outcomes.		<b>Applicable?</b>	
<b>a.</b>	Location is within 150 metres of the property boundary of a Cannabis Retail Store approved by the Alcohol and Gaming Commission	<b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Key Principle 2: Separation from Sensitive Sites**

A 150 metre distance separation from sensitive uses including schools and facilities analogous to schools is in the public interest as these provide a community function or are locations where youth congregate. Separation may prevent the normalization of cannabis use.		<b>Applicable?</b>	
<b>a.</b>	Location is within 150 metres of the property boundary of a Public School or known location of a Private School, as defined by the Education Act	<b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>b.</b>	Location is within 150 metres separation distance from a publicly-owned and/or operated recreational facility	<b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>c.</b>	Location is within 150 metres separation distance from a publicly-owned and/or operated community centre	<b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>d.</b>	Location is within 150 metres separation distance from a publicly-owned and/or operated library	<b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>e.</b>	Location is within 150 metres separation distance from an active-use public park	<b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### Key Principle 3: Where Retail is a Permitted/Principal Use

Cannabis retail stores should be restricted to zones of a commercial focus where “retail store” is a principal use in the Zoning By-law. Locations where retail is secondary or accessory to another use are not appropriate, including locations in a residential context.		<b>Applicable?</b>	
<b>a.</b>	Location is in a zone where "retail store" is not permitted as a principal use in the Zoning By-law	<b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>b.</b>	Location is in a residential zone that allows retail, such as LC (local commercial) and small-c (neighbourhood commercial) designated zones.	<b>Yes</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>c.</b>	Location is in a zone that has site-specific conditions and/or exceptions on "retail store" such that a stand-alone cannabis retail store as defined by the Cannabis License Act would not meet the provincial operating requirements.	<b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>d.</b>	Location is in a zone where "retail store" is considered a legal non-conforming use.	<b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### Key Principle 4: Additional Local Issues to be Noted

AGCO is requested to have regard to any additional local issues not captured by the above, and to take into consideration location concerns from other service providers where a cannabis retail store is proposed within 150m separation of those establishments.
<b>Staff Comments</b>
Staff note that the proposed establishment is located in a Local Commercial (LC) zone, which permits Retail Store as a use. The City does not consider LC zones to be appropriate locations for cannabis retail establishments.
<b>Ward Councillor Comments</b>
<p>I wish to state my opposition to the application for a cannabis dispensary license for 3685 Riverside Drive.</p> <p>Cannabis retail stores should be restricted to zones of a commercial focus where “retail store” is a principal use in the Zoning By-law. Locations where retail is secondary or accessory to another use are not appropriate, including locations in a residential context. The proposed establishment is not appropriate in this regard.</p> <p>Over the last week I have communicated with approximately 250 residents abutting this location and the vast majority I have heard back from are in opposition, as is the Hunt Club Community Association. Not to be overlooked, a nearby resident disclosed to me a serious medical condition that is exasperated by smoke.</p> <p>I request that the AGCO deny this application, for this address, 3685 Riverside Drive (Ottawa).</p>