

SCHEDULE 27
DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURE

1 General

- 1.1 All disputes, controversies, or claims arising out of or relating to any provision of this Project Agreement, or the alleged wrongful exercise or failure to exercise by a Party of a discretion or power given to that Party under this Project Agreement, or the interpretation, enforceability, performance, breach, termination, or validity of this Project Agreement, including, without limitation, this Schedule 27, or any matter referred to for resolution pursuant to this Schedule 27 (collectively and individually, a “**Dispute**”) shall be resolved in accordance with the provisions of this Schedule 27.
- 1.2 The Parties agree that at all times each of them will make bona fide efforts to:
- (a) resolve by amicable negotiations any and all Disputes arising between them on a without prejudice basis; and
 - (b) have all Disputes resolved at the lowest level of management before engaging the dispute resolution processes described in Sections 2 to 9 of this Schedule 27.
- 1.3 If the Parties are unable to resolve a Dispute at the lowest level of management pursuant to Section 1.2(b) of this Schedule 27, either Party may deliver to the City Representative or the DB Co Representative, as applicable, a written notice of dispute (the “**Notice of Dispute**”), which Notice of Dispute shall, subject to the terms of this Schedule 27 requiring resolution of a Dispute pursuant to a specific dispute resolution process set forth in this Schedule 27, initiate the dispute resolution process described in Sections 2 to 9 of this Schedule 27, as applicable, as more particularly described in this Schedule 27. To be effective, the Notice of Dispute must expressly state that it is a notice of dispute, set out the particulars of the matter in dispute, describe the remedy or resolution sought by the Party issuing the Notice of Dispute and be signed by the City Representative, if given by City, or by the DB Co Representative, if given by DB Co.
- 1.4 In the event of a Dispute in respect of any Critical Item set out in Section 12 of this Schedule 27, the provisions of that Section 12 shall solely govern the resolution of such Dispute and, except as set forth in Section 12.3 of this Schedule 27, the provisions of Sections 1.2 and 2 to 9 of this Schedule 27 shall not apply.

2 Amicable Resolution by Party Representatives

- 2.1 On receipt of a Notice of Dispute, the City Representative and the DB Co Representative (collectively “**Party Representatives**” and individually “**Party Representative**”) shall each promptly and diligently make all reasonable bona fide efforts to resolve the Dispute. Each Party Representative shall provide to the other, on a without prejudice basis, frank, candid and timely disclosure of relevant facts, information and documents (except such documentation that is subject to legal privilege) as may be required or reasonably requested by the other to facilitate the resolution of the Dispute.

3 Amicable Resolution by Senior Officers of each Party

- 3.1 If, following the process referred to in Section 2 of this Schedule 27 (or as otherwise agreed to in writing by the Parties pursuant to Section 13.6 of this Schedule 27), a Dispute is not resolved by

the Party Representatives within 10 Business Days after receipt by a Party of the applicable Notice of Dispute, or within such longer period of time as the Party Representatives may both expressly agree, then at any time after the expiry of such period of time either Party Representative may, by notice in writing to the other, refer the Dispute to an executive of a Party who:

- (a) is in a position of authority above that of the City Representative or the DB Co Representative, as the case may be; and
- (b) subject only to approval of the board of directors or similar governing body of the Party, has full authority to resolve and settle the Dispute.

3.2 Once a Dispute is referred to them, the executive of each Party shall promptly and diligently make all reasonable bona fide efforts to resolve the Dispute. All discussions and negotiations, and all documents exchanged, between them related to the Dispute shall be on a without prejudice basis to facilitate the resolution of the Dispute.

4 Independent Certifier

4.1 This Section 4 applies to all Disputes that fall within the description of Section 4.2 of this Schedule 27, if the Parties fail to resolve any Dispute through the process referred to in Sections 2 and 3 of this Schedule 27 within 15 Business Days following referral of the Dispute to an executive in accordance with Section 3.1 (or such other period as may be agreed or expressly stipulated in respect of the relevant matter), or as otherwise agreed to in writing by the Parties pursuant to Section 13.6 of this Schedule 27.

4.2 All Disputes (other than Systems Integration Disputes which shall be resolved in accordance with Section 5 below) related to the Works and that:

- (a) arise prior to, or otherwise in relation to, East Substantial Completion or West Substantial Completion;
- (b) relate to completion of Minor Deficiencies;
- (c) relate to whether any proposed work constitutes a Variation;
- (d) relate to a review of Estimates or any other matters relating to Variations as the Independent Certifier is entitled to review and determine pursuant to Section 31 of the Project Agreement and Schedule 22 - Variations;
- (e) are referred to in this Project Agreement for determination by the Independent Certifier; or
- (f) relate to the Certification Services or any Certification Service Variations (as those terms are defined in the Independent Certifier Agreement);

shall initially be submitted to the Independent Certifier for independent determination by the Independent Certifier within such period as may be specified in this Project Agreement, or if no period is specified, within 10 Business Days after submission to the Independent Certifier.

4.3 Without limiting any obligations of the Parties under the Independent Certifier Agreement, the Parties shall cooperate with the Independent Certifier and provide such information, records and documents as may be required by the Independent Certifier to make the determination within the period referred to in Section 4.2 of this Schedule 27.

4.4 The Independent Certifier's decision to issue or not to issue a Substantial Completion Certificate shall be final and binding on the Parties solely in respect of determining a Substantial Completion Payment Date, and a Dispute in relation to a Substantial Completion Payment Date shall not be subject to resolution pursuant to this Schedule 27. Save and except as aforesaid, the Independent Certifier's determinations are not binding on the Parties, and all Disputes in relation to the Independent Certifier's decisions shall be resolved pursuant to this Schedule 27, provided however that Section 6 of this Schedule 27 shall not apply unless otherwise agreed by the Parties on terms acceptable to the Parties.

5 System Integration Verifier

5.1 This Section 5 applies to all Systems Integration Disputes, if the Parties fail to resolve such Systems Integration Dispute through the process referred to in Sections 2 and 3 of this Schedule 27 within 15 Business Days following referral of the Dispute to an executive in accordance with Section 3.1 (or such other period as may be agreed or expressly stipulated in respect of the relevant matter), or as otherwise agreed to in writing by the Parties pursuant to Section 13.6 of this Schedule 27.

5.2 All Systems Integration Disputes shall initially be submitted to the Systems Integration Verifier for independent determination by the Systems Integration Verifier within 10 Business Days after submission to the Systems Integration Verifier, or such other period of time as may be agreed by the Parties, acting reasonably.

5.3 The Parties shall cooperate with the Systems Integration Verifier and provide such information, records and documents as may be required by the Systems Integration Verifier to make the determination within the period referred to in Section 5.2 of this Schedule 27.

5.4 Where it is determined by the Systems Integration Verifier that:

(a) corrective measures must be taken by DB Co to resolve a Systems Integration Dispute, those measures must be implemented by DB Co as soon as reasonably practical, without payment by the City unless (i) the Systems Integration Verifier determines otherwise; or (ii) that determination is subsequently reversed by a binding and final determination made in a subsequent proceeding;

(b) corrective measures are not required to be taken by DB Co to resolve a Systems Integration Dispute, the City may, at its option, require corrective measures to be taken forthwith by DB Co, in which case those measures must be implemented by DB Co as soon as reasonably practical provided that the City undertakes to pay DB Co for Direct Costs, plus reasonable overhead and profit incurred by DB Co as such costs are so incurred; provided that no such costs should exceed the amount DB Co is entitled to receive pursuant to Schedule 22 – Variation Procedure thereby incurred upon completion of those corrective measures, but any such undertaking and payment shall be without prejudice to the City's right to contest the determination made by the Systems Integration Verifier in a subsequent proceeding. The City shall provide DB Co such reasonable

extensions of time in respect of DB Co's obligations under this Project Agreement necessary to allow DB Co to effect the corrective measures and such extension of time may be treated as a Delay Event if so determined by the Independent Certifier with input from the Systems Integration Verifier.

- 5.5 The Systems Integration Verifier's determinations are not binding on the Parties, and all Disputes in relation to the Systems Integration Verifier's determinations shall be resolved pursuant to this Schedule 27, provided however that Section 6 of this Schedule 27 shall not apply unless otherwise agreed by the Parties on terms acceptable to the Parties.

6 Adjudication

- 6.1 If the Parties fail to resolve any Dispute through the process referred to in Sections 2 and 3 of this Schedule 27 within 15 Business Days following referral of the Dispute to an executive in accordance with Section 3.1 (or such other period as may be agreed or expressly stipulated in respect of the relevant matter) and it is not a Dispute referred to in Section 4.2 of this Schedule 27, a Systems Integration Dispute, or a Dispute referred to arbitration or litigation pursuant this Schedule 27 (except as otherwise agreed to in writing by the Parties pursuant to Section 13.6 of this Schedule 27), either Party may, by written notice signed by their Party Representative and delivered to the other Party Representative, refer the Dispute to an adjudicator selected in accordance with Section 6.2 of this Schedule 27 (the "**Adjudicator**").
- 6.2 The Adjudicator nominated by the Party issuing the Notice of Dispute shall be agreed between the Parties within 5 Business Days after delivery of the notice requiring that the Dispute be resolved by an Adjudicator or, failing agreement within such 5 Business Days, either Party shall apply to the Ontario Superior Court of Justice for appointment of the Adjudicator, in which case the court shall appoint the Adjudicator at the earliest opportunity from the list of potential Adjudicators submitted by the Parties or, if either or both Parties fail to submit their list of potential Adjudicators within 7 Business Days, the court may appoint any person as the Adjudicator who meets the requirements set out in this Schedule 27 for qualifications and experience of the Adjudicator pursuant to the *Arbitration Act* (Ontario) as if the adjudicator was an arbitrator under the *Arbitration Act* (Ontario). The Adjudicator shall:
- (a) be independent of and at arm's length to DB Co, City, any Government Entity, RTG, and RTG Party, the Lenders and any other person having an interest in the Project or any of the Contract Documents;
 - (b) if the Dispute arises during the life of the Project Agreement, be familiar with design and construction of a project similar to the Project; and
 - (c) be a person who has the qualifications and experience with respect to the particular issues in Dispute.
- 6.3 The Adjudicator shall resolve the Dispute in accordance with the United Kingdom Construction Industry Council's *Model Adjudication Procedure: Fourth Edition* (the "**Model Adjudication Procedure**") the terms of which are incorporated herein by reference, subject to the following modifications:
- (a) notwithstanding paragraph 14 of the *Model Adjudication Procedure*, within 7 Business Days of appointment in relation to a particular Dispute, the Adjudicator shall require the Parties to submit in writing their respective arguments; provided that, where necessary,

the onus of proving that the Project was designed and constructed in accordance with all relevant specifications and requirements set forth in the Project Agreement is on DB Co. The Adjudicator shall, in his absolute discretion, determine the procedure of the adjudication proceedings including without limitation, whether a hearing is necessary in order to resolve the Dispute;

- (b) notwithstanding paragraphs 16 and 24 of the *Model Adjudication Procedure*, in any event, and subject to Section 6.4 of this Schedule 27, the Adjudicator shall provide to both Parties his written decision on the Dispute, within 10 Business Days of appointment (or within such other period as the Parties may agree after the reference). The Adjudicator shall give detailed reasons for the Adjudicator's decision. The Adjudicator shall be entitled to award compensation to a Party and shall be entitled to state the relief for such Party, which may include deeming the occurrence of any Relief Event, Delay Event and/or Compensation Event. Unless otherwise provided for in this Schedule 27, the Adjudicator's decision shall be binding on the Parties, but not final;
- (c) notwithstanding paragraphs 29 and 30 of the *Model Adjudication Procedure*, the Adjudicator's costs, including any legal fees, of any reference shall be borne as the Adjudicator shall specify or in default, equally by the Parties. In no circumstances shall the Adjudicator be entitled to order a successful or partially successful Party in an adjudication to pay more than one half of the Adjudicator's fees. Each Party shall bear its own costs arising out of the reference, including legal costs and the costs and expenses of any witnesses;
- (d) the Adjudicator shall be deemed not to be an arbitrator but shall render his decision as an expert and the provisions of the *Arbitration Act, 1991* (Ontario) and the law relating to arbitration shall not apply to the Adjudicator (other than as set out in Section 6.2) or his determination or the procedure by which he reached his determination;
- (e) notwithstanding paragraph 26 of the *Model Adjudication Procedure*, the Adjudicator shall act impartially and may take the initiative in ascertaining the facts and the law. Unless otherwise expressly provided in this Project Agreement, the Adjudicator shall have the power to open up, review and revise any opinion, certificate, instruction, determination or decision of whatever nature given under this Project Agreement. For greater certainty, the Independent Certifier's decision to issue or not to issue a Substantial Completion Certificate shall be final and binding solely in respect of determining a Substantial Completion Payment Date, and a Dispute in relation to a Substantial Completion Payment Date shall not be subject to resolution pursuant to this Schedule 27;
- (f) the Adjudicator shall execute a non-disclosure agreement (the "**Non-Disclosure Agreement**") in a form satisfactory to the Parties, providing that, among other things, all information, data and documentation disclosed or delivered by a Party to the Adjudicator in consequence of or in connection with his appointment as the Adjudicator shall be treated as confidential and without prejudice to any potential litigation proceedings. The Adjudicator shall not, save except as expressly permitted by the Non-Disclosure Agreement, disclose to any person any such information, data or documentation, and all such information, data or documentation shall remain the property of the Party disclosing or delivering the same and all copies shall be returned to such Party on completion of the Adjudicator's mandate with respect to the Dispute; and

- (g) notwithstanding paragraph 34 of the *Model Adjudication Procedure*, the Adjudicator shall not be liable for anything done or omitted to be done in the discharge or purported discharge of his functions as Adjudicator unless the act or omission is in bad faith. Any employee or agent of the Adjudicator is similarly protected from liability.

6.4 Where it is determined by the Adjudicator that:

- (a) corrective measures must be taken by DB Co to resolve a Dispute, those measures must be implemented by DB Co as soon as reasonably practical, without payment by City unless (i) the Adjudicator determines otherwise; or (ii) that determination is subsequently reversed by a binding and final determination made in a court proceeding;
- (b) corrective measures are not required to be taken by DB Co to resolve a Dispute, City may, at its option, require corrective measures to be taken forthwith by DB Co, in which case those measures must be implemented by DB Co as soon as reasonably practical provided that City undertakes to pay DB Co for Direct Costs, plus reasonable overhead and profit incurred by DB Co as such costs are so incurred; provided that no such costs should exceed the amount DB Co is entitled to receive pursuant to Schedule 22 – Variation Procedure thereby incurred upon completion of those corrective measures, but any such undertaking and payment shall be without prejudice to City’s right to contest the determination made by the Adjudicator in a subsequent proceeding. City shall provide DB Co such reasonable extensions of time in respect of DB Co’s obligations under this Project Agreement necessary to allow DB Co to effect the corrective measures and such extension of time may be treated as a Delay Event if so determined by the Adjudicator.

6.5 Subject to a right to require the Dispute to be arbitrated or litigated pursuant to Sections 7, 8 and 9 of this Schedule 27 by giving the required notices to arbitrate or litigate within the time periods specified therein, the Parties agree that the Adjudicator’s determination is final and binding and not subject to appeal, arbitration, litigation or any other dispute resolution process, and both Parties expressly waive all rights of appeal in connection with the Adjudicator’s determination.

7 Referral of Disputes to Arbitration or Litigation

7.1 If:

- (a) the amount awarded the Adjudicator pursuant to Section 6 of this Schedule 27 is more than \$[REDACTED] (index linked) in the aggregate or \$[REDACTED] (index linked) in any one year;
- (b) the Dispute involves issues other than monetary claims by one Party against the other Party and which a Party reasonably believes are material and significant to that Party;
- (c) a Notice of Dispute has been issued for a Dispute in relation to the Independent Certifier’s decisions for which Section 4.4 of this Schedule 27 provides that Section 6 of this Schedule 27 shall not apply to resolve such Dispute; or
- (d) a Notice of Dispute has been issued for a Systems Integration Dispute in relation to the Systems Integration Verifier’s decisions for which Section 5.5 of this Schedule 27 provides that Section 6 of this Schedule 27 shall not apply to resolve such Dispute,

then, subject to the right of a Party to require litigation of the Dispute pursuant to Section 9.1 of this Schedule 27 or a consolidation of proceedings pursuant to Section 11 of this Schedule 27, either Party may, by written notice signed by their Party Representative, request that the Dispute be resolved by arbitration pursuant to Section 8 of this Schedule 27 upon the written consent of the other Party. Such notice will not be effective unless it indicates it is a notice to arbitrate, is signed by the Party Representative and is delivered to the other Party Representative within 15 Business Days after receipt of the Adjudicator's decision or the Notice of Dispute referred to in Section 7.1(c) of this Schedule 27, as applicable, and provided further that such notice expressly identifies the specific Dispute and decision of the Adjudicator, the Independent Certifier, or the Systems Integration Verifier, as applicable, that is to be the subject of the arbitration.

- 7.2 If a Party is entitled to refer a Dispute to which Section 6 of this Schedule 27 apply to arbitration or litigation pursuant to Sections 7.1 or 9.1 of this Schedule 27 then, unless the Parties otherwise expressly agree in writing, all information, documents and submissions prepared by a Party for the Adjudicator which are not business records that would otherwise be kept in the normal course of business by the Party for its business purposes, and all decisions and determinations by the Adjudicator, shall be confidential and inadmissible in any arbitration or litigation proceeding. For greater certainty, the Adjudicator shall not be called as a witness by either party in any arbitration or litigation proceeding.

8 Resolution by Arbitration

- 8.1 Upon the mutual written consent of the parties,

- (a) where the Parties fail to resolve a Dispute through the process set out in Sections 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 (to the extent required) of this Schedule 27, and
- (b) all other requirements set out in this Schedule 27 have been satisfied,

such Dispute may be referred to arbitration in accordance with the *Arbitration Act, 1991* (Ontario) and this Section.

- 8.2 Disputes referred to arbitration shall be resolved by a single arbitrator unless one of the Parties, by notice in writing delivered to the other Party within 5 Business Days after a notice to arbitrate pursuant to Section 7.1 of this Schedule 27 has been delivered, expressly requires that the Dispute that is the subject of that notice to arbitrate be resolved by a three person arbitration tribunal, in which case that particular Dispute shall be resolved by a three person arbitration tribunal.

- 8.3 If the arbitration tribunal is comprised of a single arbitrator, the arbitrator shall be appointed as follows:

- (a) if the Parties agree on the arbitrator, the Parties shall jointly appoint the arbitrator as soon as possible and in any event within 5 Business Days after delivery of the notice to arbitrate pursuant to Section 7 of this Schedule 27; and
- (b) if the Parties fail to agree or jointly appoint the arbitrator within such 5 Business Day period, either Party may apply to the Ontario Superior Court of Justice for appointment of the arbitrator, in which case the court shall appoint the arbitrator at the earliest opportunity in accordance with the following:

- (i) from the lists of potential arbitrators submitted to the court by the Parties, provided that potential arbitrators meeting the necessary qualifications and experience set out in this Schedule 27 are on the list; or
- (ii) if one Party fails to submit its list of potential arbitrators to the court within 5 Business Days of a request from the court to submit a list, from the list submitted by the other Party provided that potential arbitrators meeting the necessary qualifications and experience set out in this Schedule 27 are on the list of that other Party; or
- (iii) if no list is submitted by either Party, or if the list or lists submitted do not include potential arbitrators with the necessary qualifications and experience, the court shall be entitled at its sole discretion to appoint anyone who meets the requirements set out in this Schedule 27 for the qualifications and experience of the arbitrator.

8.4 If the arbitration tribunal is comprised of three arbitrators:

- (a) the arbitrators shall be appointed as follows:
 - (i) each Party shall appoint one arbitrator no later than 5 Business Days after delivery of the notice to arbitrate pursuant to Section 7 of this Schedule 27;
 - (ii) if a Party fails to appoint an arbitrator within 5 Business Days after delivery of the notice to arbitrate, the other Party is entitled to apply to the Ontario Superior Court of Justice to appoint that arbitrator, in which case the court shall appoint that arbitrator at the earliest opportunity using a comparable process to that described in Section 8.3(b) of this Schedule 27;
 - (iii) the arbitrators appointed in accordance with the foregoing shall, within 5 Business Days after their appointment, jointly appoint a third arbitrator who shall also act as the chair of the arbitration tribunal and who, in addition to all other required qualifications, shall have experience in arbitration or judicial processes and procedures; and
 - (iv) if the two arbitrators appointed by the Parties fail to appoint a third arbitrator within the required time, either of the other two arbitrators may apply to the Ontario Superior Court of Justice for appointment of the third arbitrator, in which case the court shall appoint the third arbitrator at the earliest opportunity using a comparable process to that described in Section 8.3(b) of this Schedule 27; and
- (b) the arbitrators appointed by the Parties shall at all times be neutral and act impartially and shall not act as advocates for the interests of the Party who appointed them.

8.5 All arbitrators must have qualifications and experience relevant to the issues in the Dispute and also have qualifications and experience as arbitrators.

8.6 No one shall be nominated or appointed to act as an arbitrator who is or was in any way interested, financially or otherwise, in the conduct of the Works or in the business affairs of City, DB Co, RTG, any RTG Party or any consultant, subconsultant or subcontractor of any of them.

- 8.7 The arbitrator(s) shall have the jurisdiction and power to:
- (a) amend or vary any and all rules under the *Arbitration Act, 1991* (Ontario), including rules relating to time limits, either by express agreement of the Parties or, failing such agreement, as the arbitrator(s) consider appropriate and necessary in the circumstances to resolve the Dispute and render an award;
 - (b) require some or all of the evidence to be provided by affidavit;
 - (c) hold a hearing at which evidence and submissions are presented by the Parties;
 - (d) direct either or both Parties to prepare and provide the arbitrator(s) with such documents, test results or other things as the arbitrator(s) may require to assist them in the resolution of the Dispute and rendering of an award;
 - (e) require either Party to supply or prepare for examination by the arbitrator(s) and the other Party, any document or information the arbitrator(s) considers necessary;
 - (f) inspect the Works, giving reasonable notice to each Party of the time when, and the place where, the arbitrator(s) intend(s) to conduct any inspections;
 - (g) award any remedy or relief that a court or judge of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice could order or grant subject to and in accordance with this Project Agreement, including, without limitation, interim orders, interim and permanent injunctions, and specific performance; and
 - (h) require either or both Parties to take and provide to the arbitrator(s) such measurements, perform such tests, perform such audits, or take any and all such other measures or steps as the arbitrator(s) consider necessary or desirable to aid them in making a fair and reasonable award.
- 8.8 The place of arbitration shall be Ottawa, Ontario. The language of the arbitration shall be English.
- 8.9 The costs of an arbitration are in the discretion of the arbitrator(s) who, in addition to any jurisdiction and authority under applicable law to award costs, has the jurisdiction and authority to make an order for costs on such basis as the arbitrator(s) considers appropriate in the circumstances, including to award actual legal fees and disbursements and expert witness fees, and to specify or order any or all of the following:
- (a) the Party entitled to costs;
 - (b) the Party who must pay the costs;
 - (c) the amount of the costs or how that amount is to be determined; and
 - (d) how all or part of the costs must be paid.
- 8.10 In exercising discretion to award costs, however, the arbitrator(s) will take into account the desire of the Parties that costs should generally be awarded to each Party in proportion to the relative success that each Party has in the arbitration.

- 8.11 The award of the arbitrator(s) shall be final and binding upon both Parties, and both Parties expressly waive all rights of appeal in connection with the award of the arbitrator(s). Judgment may be entered upon the award in accordance with Applicable Law in any court having jurisdiction.
- 8.12 The Parties agree to and shall co-operate fully with the arbitrator(s) and proceed with the arbitration expeditiously, including in respect of any hearing, in order that an award may be rendered as soon as practicable by the arbitrator(s), given the nature of the Dispute. The arbitrator(s) shall render a decision as soon as possible and, in any event, shall use all reasonable efforts to render a decision no later than 20 Business Days after the date of the hearing, or such longer period of time as agreed to in writing by the Parties. If the arbitration tribunal is comprised of three arbitrators, the decision of a majority of the arbitration tribunal shall be deemed to be the decision of the arbitration tribunal, and where there is no majority decision, the decision of the chair of the arbitration tribunal shall be deemed to be the decision of the arbitration tribunal.
- 8.13 This Project Agreement, including this Schedule 27, constitutes an agreement to arbitrate that shall be specifically enforceable.
- 8.14 Any arbitrator appointed pursuant to this Section 8 of this Schedule 27 shall keep all information about the Dispute confidential and shall not disclose such information to anyone other than the Parties.

9 Litigation

- 9.1 Notwithstanding that a notice to arbitrate has been delivered pursuant to Section 7.1 of this Schedule 27, following receipt of the Adjudicator's award or determination pursuant to Section 6 of this Schedule 27, or if applicable a Notice of Dispute has been issued following receipt of a decision of the Independent Certifier if the Dispute is a Dispute in relation to the Independent Certifier's decisions for which Section 4.4 of this Schedule 27 provides that Section 6 of this Schedule 27 shall not apply, or if applicable a Notice of Dispute has been issued following receipt of a determination of the Systems Integration Verifier if the Dispute is a Systems Integration Dispute in relation to the Systems Integration Verifier's determination for which Section 5.5 of this Schedule 27 provides that Section 6 of this Schedule 27 shall not apply, if one or more of the following apply then either Party may elect, by written notice signed by their Party Representative, to require that the Dispute be referred to and resolved solely by litigation in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, and both Parties agree to attorn to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario in respect of the Dispute:
- (a) if the actual or potential total value or amount at issue in the Dispute (as determined by adding all claims and counterclaims) is more than \$[REDACTED] (index linked) in the aggregate or \$[REDACTED] (index linked) in any one year; or
 - (b) if the Dispute is considered by City to involve material issues of public health or safety.

Such notice will not be effective unless it indicates it is a notice to submit the Dispute to litigation, is signed by the Party Representative and is delivered to the other Party Representative within 15 Business Days after receipt of the Adjudicator's determination, or the Notice of Dispute referred to in Section 7.1(c) or (d) of this Schedule 27, as applicable, and provided further that such notice expressly identifies the specific Dispute and determination of the Adjudicator,

Independent Certifier, or Systems Integration Verifier, as applicable, that is to be the subject of the litigation.

9.2 If neither Party delivers a notice of election to resolve a particular Dispute by litigation in the manner and within the time specified in Section 9.1 of this Schedule 27, then:

(a) provided that one Party has, in the manner and within the time period specified in Section 7.1 of this Schedule 27, given notice to the other Party of election to resolve that Dispute by arbitration, and subject to a consolidation of proceedings pursuant to Section 11 of this Schedule 27, that Dispute shall be resolved only by arbitration pursuant to Sections 8.2 to 8.14 of this Schedule 27; and

(b) **[Intentionally Deleted].**

9.3 **[Intentionally Deleted].**

10 Consolidation of Project Agreement Adjudication, Arbitration and Litigation

10.1 For all Disputes that arise prior to East Substantial Completion, unless:

(a) both Parties otherwise agree; or

(b) the issue in a particular Dispute arises in connection with the Review Procedure; or

(c) the issue in a particular Dispute is such that waiting until after East Substantial Completion to resolve that Dispute will cause irreparable harm to one of the Parties; or

(d) the issue in a particular Dispute arises in connection with requirements of achieving or deficiencies in not achieving East Substantial Completion; or

(e) in respect to a particular Dispute, the Dispute is consolidated with Third Party Arbitration or Third Party Litigation (as hereinafter defined) pursuant to Section 11 of this Schedule 27;

all adjudication, arbitral and litigation proceedings between the Parties prior to East Substantial Completion with respect to the East Works shall be stayed and consolidated into, as applicable, a single adjudication, arbitration and a single litigation proceeding, with the adjudication, arbitration and, if applicable, litigation, proceeding promptly and expeditiously after East Substantial Completion.

10.2 For all Disputes that arise prior to West Substantial Completion, unless:

(a) both Parties otherwise agree; or

(b) the issue in a particular Dispute arises in connection with the Review Procedure; or

(c) the issue in a particular Dispute is such that waiting until after West Substantial Completion to resolve that Dispute will cause irreparable harm to one of the Parties; or

(d) the issue in a particular Dispute arises in connection with requirements of achieving or deficiencies in not achieving West Substantial Completion; or

- (e) in respect to a particular Dispute, the Dispute is consolidated with Third Party Arbitration or Third Party Litigation (as hereinafter defined) pursuant to Section 11 of this Schedule 27;

all adjudication, arbitral and litigation proceedings between the Parties prior to West Substantial Completion with respect to the West Works shall be stayed and consolidated into, as applicable, a single adjudication, arbitration and a single litigation proceeding, with the adjudication, arbitration and, if applicable, litigation, proceeding promptly and expeditiously after West Substantial Completion.

11 Consolidation with Third Party Disputes

11.1 Subject to Section 11.4 of this Schedule 27, if either Party is involved in an arbitration in the Province of Ontario with a third party (“**Third Party Arbitration**”), and if such Third Party Arbitration involves common factual or legal issues (including common issues of damages) which are also the subject of a Dispute between the Parties for which a Notice of Dispute has been given, then any arbitration of the Dispute between the Parties which includes those common factual, legal or damages issues (“**Project Agreement Arbitration**”) shall be stayed, consolidated or joined with the Third Party Arbitration(s) but only if City, DB Co and the other Parties all agree or, failing their agreement, if a court in the Province of Ontario on application considers it just and convenient in all the circumstances that the Project Agreement Arbitration should be stayed or consolidated or joined with the Third Party Arbitration.

11.2 Subject to Section 11.4 of this Schedule 27, if either Party is involved in litigation in the Province of Ontario with a third party (“**Third Party Litigation**”) and if:

- (a) such Third Party Litigation involves common factual or legal issues (including common issues of damages) which are the subject of a Project Agreement Arbitration; and
- (b) one of the Parties is brought directly into the Third Party Litigation as a Party to that litigation,

then on the application of either Party to the court in the Province of Ontario having jurisdiction the court may, if it determines that it is just and convenient in all the circumstances, order a stay of either or both the Project Agreement Arbitration proceeding and Third Party Litigation, or order a joinder of the Project Agreement Arbitration and the Third Party Litigation. If such joinder is ordered, the Project Agreement Arbitration and the Third Party Litigation ordered to be joined by the court shall be determined by that court or by another court in Ontario such that the Project Agreement Arbitration and the Third Party Litigation shall be resolved in one forum. For purposes of the foregoing, joinder of the Project Agreement Arbitration and the Third Party Litigation shall be construed to include stays and conditional stays of issues in the Project Agreement Arbitration pending the commencement and completion of third party proceedings by one or both of the Parties in the Third Party Litigation.

11.3 In considering whether to order a stay, consolidation or joinder of a Project Agreement Arbitration with a Third Party Arbitration or Third Party Litigation, the court will be entitled to give substantial weight to the desire by the Parties that all Disputes which are related to Third Party Arbitration or Third Party Litigation be resolved in a single forum to avoid multiplicity of proceedings and the potential for contradictory findings of fact, liability and quantum, and to ensure the arbitrator or court has the advantage of obtaining full evidence and disclosure from the Parties and from the other Parties, as applicable and as required to resolve the Dispute and to

make findings of fact, liability and quantum of damages and awards or judgments binding on the Parties based on all available evidence.

11.4 Sections 11.1 and 11.2 of this Schedule 27 only apply:

- (a) if the Dispute between the Parties includes a claim by one Party against the other for contribution or indemnity for that Party's liability or potential liability to the third party where such liability results or will result from an award in the Third Party Arbitration or a judgment in the Third Party Litigation; and
- (b) to those specific issues that are common issues in the Project Agreement Arbitration, the Third Party Arbitration and the Third Party Litigation, such that all other issues in the Dispute shall continue to be resolved by Project Agreement Arbitration and shall not be consolidated with the Third Party Arbitration or Third Party Litigation.

12 Critical Items

12.1 In the event that a Dispute relates to one or more of the following elements, features or aspects of the Project (the "**Critical Items**"):

- (a) **[Not Applicable]**.

the effect of which is likely to materially impede the proper and timely completion of the Works, such Dispute shall be resolved in accordance with Section 12.2 of this Schedule 27.

12.2 Any Dispute with respect to any Critical Item shall be resolved in an expeditious manner and shall not be subject to the Dispute Resolution Procedure set forth in this Schedule 27 and must be resolved to the satisfaction of City and DB Co within two hours of being reported by DB Co or otherwise identified by City. For the purposes of this Section 12, "resolved" means a proposed solution must be agreed between the City Representative and DB Co within that two hour period, including a time frame for implementing a proposed solution. For greater certainty, it is understood that in some cases the nature or extent of a Critical Item may not permit that Critical Item to be solved or otherwise resolved within two hours.

12.3 In the event that:

- (a) there is a Dispute related to a Critical Item that cannot be resolved within the two hours set forth in Section 12.2 of this Schedule 27,
 - (i) as to the existence of a problem or its extent, or
 - (ii) with respect to the proposed solution, or
- (b) there is a Dispute concerning the effectiveness of any solution proposed in respect of a Critical Item

then that Dispute must be resolved by an Adjudicator in accordance with Section 6 of this Schedule 27 within one Business Day of such Adjudicator being asked to undertake such an adjudication. Decisions of the Adjudicator with respect to Disputes relating to Critical Items are final and binding with respect to the action being taken, but not with respect to fault or the cost or timing implications of the matter in dispute. Decisions of the Adjudicator with respect to fault or

the cost or timing implications shall be treated as a Dispute and determined in accordance with the provisions of this Schedule 27.

13 Miscellaneous

- 13.1 DB Co and City shall diligently carry out their respective obligations under this Project Agreement during the pendency of any Disputes, including, without limitation, adjudication proceedings, arbitration proceedings or litigation proceedings. If during the pendency of any Dispute it is considered necessary by either Party to proceed in respect of the matter that is in Dispute, then without prejudice to DB Co's rights in respect of the Dispute (including in respect of Delay Events, Compensation Events and Variations), DB Co shall proceed in accordance with the direction of City, and in the event the matter in dispute is determined in favour of DB Co, proceeding in accordance with City's position shall: (i) subject to and in accordance with Section 32 of this Project Agreement, be treated as a Delay Event; (ii) subject to and in accordance with Section 33 of this Project Agreement, be treated as a Compensation Event; and (iii) subject to and in accordance with Schedule 22 – Variation Procedure, result in a Variation. For greater certainty:
- (a) in respect of any Dispute relating to the Works referred to in Section 4.2 of this Schedule 27, the Independent Certifier shall be the decision maker of first instance and the Parties shall comply with the initial decision of the Independent Certifier unless and until it is overturned in a subsequent arbitration or litigation proceeding; and
 - (b) in respect of any Systems Integration Dispute, the Systems Integration Verifier shall be the decision maker of first instance and the Parties shall comply with the initial decision of the Systems Integration Verifier unless and until it is overturned in a subsequent arbitration or litigation proceeding.
- 13.2 Nothing contained in this Schedule 27 will prevent the Parties from seeking interim protection from the courts of the Province of Ontario, including seeking an interlocutory injunction, if necessary to prevent irreparable harm to a Party.
- 13.3 The Parties shall indemnify each other in respect of any damages suffered or incurred on amounts agreed to be paid pursuant to resolution of a Dispute by the Party Representatives or by the executives of the Parties pursuant to Sections 2 and 3 of this Schedule 27, and on the amount of any award or judgment as follows:
- (a) for amounts payable by DB Co to City, DB Co shall indemnify City as provided for at Section 46.1(e) of this Project Agreement from and against any damages suffered or incurred resulting from any overpayment to DB Co or, as applicable, any underpayment or non-payment by DB Co from the date of any overpayment to DB Co or, as applicable, from the date on which payment was due under this Project Agreement to City until the date of payment; or
 - (b) for amounts payable by City to DB Co, City shall indemnify DB Co as provided for at Section 46.2(c) of this Project Agreement from and against any damages suffered or incurred resulting from any overpayment to City or, as applicable, any underpayment or non-payment by City from the date of any overpayment to City or, as applicable, from the date on which payment was due under this Project Agreement to DB Co until the date of payment.

- 13.4 DB Co shall ensure that any and all documents and other information in the possession or control of any DB Co Party that are available to DB Co and that may be necessary for the resolution of a Dispute on an informed basis by the Party Representatives or by the executives of the Parties pursuant to Sections 2 and 3 of this Schedule 27, or by an expert, the Systems Integration Verifier, an adjudicator, an arbitrator or a court of competent jurisdiction, are made available in a timely manner to City and the City Representative.
- 13.5 City shall ensure that any and all documents and other information in the possession or control of any City Party that are available to City and that may be necessary for the resolution of a Dispute on an informed basis by the Party Representatives or by the executives of the Parties pursuant to Sections 2 and 3 of this Schedule 27, or be an expert, the Systems Integration Verifier, an adjudicator, an arbitrator or court of competent jurisdiction, are made available in a timely manner to DB Co and the DB Co Representative.
- 13.6 The Parties can, by written agreement, on a Dispute by Dispute basis:
- (a) extend any or all timelines set out in this Schedule 27;
 - (b) agree to waive or by-pass any one or more of the Dispute resolution processes in Sections 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of this Schedule 27 and, instead, proceed directly to resolution of the Dispute by arbitration or litigation pursuant to Sections 7, 8 and 9 of this Schedule 27;
 - (c) agree to (i) resolve a Dispute by litigation rather than adjudication or arbitration notwithstanding the requirements of Section 6 and Section 8 of this Schedule 27, or (ii) agree to resolve a Dispute by arbitration rather than adjudication or litigation notwithstanding the requirements of Section 6 and Section 9 of this Schedule 27, or (iii) agree to resolve a Dispute by adjudication rather than arbitration or litigation notwithstanding the requirements of Section 8 and Section 9 of this Schedule 27;
 - (d) **[Intentionally Deleted]**; and
 - (e) agree to resolve a Dispute relating to Critical Items by adjudication, arbitration or litigation notwithstanding the requirements of Section 12 of this Schedule 27.
- 13.7 This Schedule 27 shall survive the expiration or termination of this Project Agreement for any reason.