### REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC)

Completed by the Sponsor	
Request No.:	TN-001
Date raised:	August 15, 2018
Proponent:	TNext
Subject:	Schedule 6 – Proponent Team Member Declaration
Reference Documents:	RFP Main Body, RFP Schedule 3, Part 3, RFP Schedule 5, RFP Schedule 6.
Request for Clarification: TN-001	

As per Schedule 3, Part 3 of the RFP, the Proponent must submit a *Schedule 6, Proponent Team Member Declaration* for each Proponent Team Member.

The submitted *Schedule 5 Participant Conflict Screening List* lists individuals from the following companies or organizations in paragraph 15 "List of Other Significant Individuals":

- Altus Group;
- INTECH Risk Management GmbH; and
- Lunas Visualization

No Schedule 6 Team Member Declaration form was submitted for these entities.

#### Please either:

- (i) Confirm that the entities listed above are not Team Members; or
- (ii) provide duly completed and signed Schedule 6 Team Member Declarations if these entities are Team Members.

Please provide your response by no later than 10 AM on August 16, 2018

	Completed by the Proponent
Date of Response:	August 15, 2018
Response:	

TransitNEXT confirms that the entities listed are not Team Members

OLRT Stage 2   Trillium Line Extension Project Ottowood RFP No.: 09717-94065-P01, July 17, 2017	1
REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC)	

REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC)	



## **REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC)**

Completed by the Sponsor	
Request No.:	TN-002
Date raised:	August 16, 2018
Proponent:	TransitNEXT
Subject:	General Technical Submission Section 2.3
Reference Documents:	
	RFP Main Body,
	RFP Schedule 3, Part 1
	RFP Schedule 3, Part 3

Request for Clarification: TN-002

Please clarify where the response required by RFP Schedule 3, Part 1, Section 2.3 (1) (b) (v) is in your submission.

Please provide your response by no later than 5 PM on August 16, 2018.

Completed by the Proponent	
Date of Response:	August 16, 2018
Response:	

TransitNEXT's approach to integration of the Head-End Communications Systems is detailed in Section 1.7(a) under the heading 'Systems Integration with Stage 1'



### REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC)

Completed by the Sponsor	
Request No.:	TN-003
Date raised:	August 17, 2018
Proponent:	TransitNext
Subject:	General Technical Submission 1.7 and 2.2
Reference Documents:	RFP Main Body, RFP Schedule 3, Part 1 RFP Schedule 3, Part 3

Request for Clarification: TN-003

#### A – Systems Integration Management Plan (SIMP)

According to Part B, Section 1.7 of RFP Schedule 3, Part 3, the page limit for the section of the proposal relating to the SIMP is 30 pages.

You have submitted a narrative response, at pages I.7-1 to I.1.7-25 set out under the headings above. As an appendix you have also provided a draft SIMP of 28 pages which includes a 11x17 pullout (for a total of 29 8.5x11 sized pages). The total page count for both documents is 54 pages.

Please advise, which page(s) you would like the Technical Evaluation Team **not** to review. The excess page(s) will be removed from the copies of the submission provided to the evaluators.

#### **B** – Geotechnical

According to Part B, Section 2.2 of RFP Schedule 3, Part 3, the maximum page limit for this section is 45 pages.

You have submitted a 30-page narrative response at section 2.2 of your General Technical Submission, as well as a 21-page narrative "Supplementary Geotechnical Investigation, GIMP, CIAR, and Environmental Sampling and Testing" document included in Volume 4 of the drawings submission. The total page count is 51 pages.

<u>Please advise, which page(s) you would like the Technical Evaluation Team **not** to review. The excess page(s) will be removed from the copies of the submission provided to the evaluators.</u>



### REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC)

#### **C- Deadline for Response**

<u>Please provide your response to A and B above by no later than 5:00PM EST on August 17, 2018.</u>

Completed by the Proponent	
Date of Response:	August 17, 2018
Response:	

Response A - Please do not review the 28-page draft SIMP that was submitted as an Appendix.

Response B - Please do not review pages 44, 45 and 46 as well as pages 62, 63 and 64 of the 226-page document in Volume 4 of our Drawings Submission PDF package which is the first 3 and last 3 pages of the document titled "Supplementary Geotechnical Investigation, GIMP, CIAR, and Environmental Sampling and Testing"." This will meet the 45 maximum page limit for the Geotechnical Section.



REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC)		



### **REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC)**

Completed by the Sponsor	
Request No.:	TNext-004
Date raised:	October 29, 2018
Proponent:	TransitNEXT
Subject:	Adjustments for Changes in Benchmark Rate
Reference Documents:	Financial Submission
Request for Clarification:	•

#### Request for Clarification:

The Sponsor notes the following Note to Draft in the Term Sheet for the Hold Co loan: "Interest rate to be refreshed at Financial Close based on a forward cost of funds curve and a credit spread of 150 basis points."

Please confirm that TransitNEXT will adhere to the requirements of Section 1.0 in Part B of RFP Schedule 3, Part 2 which states that "Rates on any subordinated or junior debt, including equity bridge loans and similar non-senior debt facilities are not subject to the adjustment process and shall remain the same as in the Financial Submission".

Please provide your response by no later than 2:00PM EST October 30, 2018.

Completed by the Proponent	
Date of Response:	October 30, 2018
Response:	

TransitNEXT confirms that the interest rate for the Hold Co loan will not be subject to the adjustment at Financial Close under Section 1.0 in Part B of RFP Schedule 3, Part 2 and will remain the same.



### **REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION (RFC)**

Completed by the Sponsor	
Request No.:	TNext-005
Date raised:	October 31, 2018
Proponent:	TransitNEXT
Subject:	
Reference Documents:	Financial Submission
D (	

#### Request for Clarification:

The Sponsor makes reference to Appendix F of the Hold Co loan Term Sheet - Proposed Ownership Structure and the Organizational Chart presented in Section 1 – page 5.

Does the equity subscription mean that SNC-Lavalin Capital Inc. will become a limited partner in Project Co. in addition to its current interest in Hold Co?

Please provide your response by no later than 2:00PM EST October 31, 2018.

Completed by the Proponent	
Date of Response:	October 31, 2018
Posponso:	

#### ixesponse.

SNC-Lavalin Capital Inc. will <u>indirectly</u> own 100% of the equity interests in Project Co, as depicted on Appendix F (Propose Ownership Structure) to the Hold Co Loan Term Sheet. It will not have a direct interest in Project Co.

We note that, at this juncture, Hold Co, Intermediate Hold Co, General Partner 1, General Partner 2 and Project Co have not yet been established. We intend to incorporate or register these entities if we are selected as the Preferred Proponent for the Project.