1.3 Conformance Events

Events – TNext



Section: [e.g.: Section 1.2 IMS]	1.2 (2) (g) (i)
Title: [Title of Event]	TN-U006: Description of the inspection, testing and monitoring activity
Location in Proposal: [section, page #]	Page 1.2-23
Classification: [Non-Conformant, Unobservable, Exceedances]	Unobservable
Description: [insert description as required]	This section does not fully capture the involvement of the IQAF. Although the Proponent's submission throughout section 1.2 makes multiple reference to IQAF staff and the IQAF Manager, Section 1.2 (2) (g) does not make specific reference to the IQAF, therefore, this is considered Unobservable.
Scope Modification Description [in the case of a Non-Conformance, what is the scope required to accomplish Conformance]	Update Section 1.2 (2) (g) (i) to adequately reference the involvement of the IQAF.





1.3 Conformance Events

Events – TNext



Train

Section: [e.g.: Section 1.2 IMS]	2.1 (1) (k) (i)
Title: [Title of Event]	TN-CwC001: Freight Loading
Location in Proposal: [section, page #]	Earl Armstrong Bridge dwg 43dk-1001; Rail Bridge over Leitrim Road dwg 43dk-1201
Classification: [Non-Conformant, Unobservable, Exceedances]	Conformant with Comments
Description: [insert description as required]	 Based on the drawings submitted at Earl Armstrong, Leitrim and Lester, the Proponent has clearly not included Cooper E80 Freight loading as a live load in all the structures. Reviewing the description of the 2 locations in question within section 4.8 c) (Earl Armstrong and Leitrim), and comparing with the requirements for Lester Road, one could conclude that the description of a "grade separation" as a Structure for Earl Armstrong and Leitrim is different than the requirement to construct a Guideway Structure which requires Freight Loading. There is no definition of Guideway Structure or Freight Guideway Structure which would confirm that any structure that carries Guideway should be considered a Guideway Structure. There are only separate definitions for Structure and Guideway. It is clearly the intent that all structures should be designed for Cooper E80 loading however the PSOS appears to be unclear and the submission which excludes freight loading could be considered Conformant with Comments.
Scope Modification Description [in the case of a Non-Conformance, what is the scope required to accomplish Conformance]	

2