



Servicing study guidelines for development applications

4. Development Servicing Study Checklist

The following section describes the checklist of the required content of servicing studies. It is expected that the proponent will address each one of the following items for the study to be deemed complete and ready for review by City of Ottawa Infrastructure Approvals staff.

The level of required detail in the Servicing Study will increase depending on the type of application. For example, for Official Plan amendments and re-zoning applications, the main issues will be to determine the capacity requirements for the proposed change in land use and confirm this against the existing capacity constraint, and to define the solutions, phasing of works and the financing of works to address the capacity constraint. For subdivisions and site plans, the above will be required with additional detailed information supporting the servicing within the development boundary.

4.1 General Content

Executive Summary (for larger reports only).

Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.

Date and revision number of the report.
Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.
Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.
Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere.
Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.
Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria.
Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.
Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.
Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).
Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.
Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.

Visit us: Ottawa.ca/planning Visitez-nous: Ottawa.ca/urbanisme





Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.
All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: • Metric scale
North arrow (including construction North)
∘ Key plan
Name and contact information of applicant and property owner
Property limits including bearings and dimensions
 Existing and proposed structures and parking areas
∘ Easements, road widening and rights-of-way
∘ Adjacent street names
4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available
Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development
Identification of system constraints
Identify boundary conditions
Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure
Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.
Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.
Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design
Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves
Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.
Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range





Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.
Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.
Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.
Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.
4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater
Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.
Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.
Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.
Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)
Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.
Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.
Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).
Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.
Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.
Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.
Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.





4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist

drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)
Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.
A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.
Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.
Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.
Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information.
Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.
Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.
Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.
Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.
Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).
Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.
Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.
Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.
Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.
If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100 year return period storm event.
Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses
Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.
Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.
100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.





Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.
Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.
Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions.
Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.
4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist
The Servicing Study shall provide a list of applicable permits and regulatory approvals necessary for the proposed development as well as the relevant issues affecting each approval. The approval and permitting shall include but not be limited to the following:
Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.
Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.
Changes to Municipal Drains.
Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)
4.6 Conclusion Checklist
Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations
Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.
All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario